

1178/TRAI/ISPAI/16

July 5, 2016

Shri A. Robert J Ravi,  
Advisor (QoS)  
Telecom Regulatory Authority of India  
Mahanagar Doorsanchar Bhawan,  
Old-Minto Road, Near Zakir Husain College,  
New Delhi – 110003

**Subject: ISPAI response to TRAI Pre Consultation Paper on “Net Neutrality”**

Dear Sir,

We congratulate the Authority to have come out with the Pre - consultation paper on the matter captioned above and sincere thanks for providing us the opportunity to submit our response on this matter.

We have enclosed our comprehensive response for your consideration. We believe that the Authority would consider our response in positive perspective and incorporate our concerns on the subject matter.

Looking forward for your favourable consideration.

Thanking you,

With Best Regards,  
For Internet Service Providers Association of India



Rajesh Chharia  
President  
+91-9811038188  
rc@cjnet4u.com

Encl: As above

**ISPAI Response to TRAI Pre - Consultation paper on “Net Neutrality”**

**Introduction:**

Broadband penetration is very low in India and there is need that large players with deep pockets do not control Internet flow as this would slow Broadband penetration. After broadband reaches significant level, there may be review. TRAI has already recognized the need for Quick growth of Broadband.

The Internet has evolved over time and will continue to do so. Internet is a very democratic medium, but not one without advantages for the major players. There is need to maintain that internet should foster development and deployment of new services and content offerings. Regulation has to ensure that the Internet remains an open platform for innovation and a dynamic medium. It is important and critical to note here that TSP as a term should include ISPs as well.

**Question wise response is as below:**

**Q.1) What should be regarded as the core principles of net neutrality in the Indian context? What are the key issues that are required to be considered so that the principles of net neutrality are ensured?**

**ISPAI Response:**

It should preserve the factors that have allowed the Internet to serve as a platform for innovation and growth: –

- User choice: Users independently choose which applications they want to use, without interference
- Innovation of services without hinderance. The regulatory framework may prescribe rules that should not restrict TSPs/ISPs to innovate products and charging for the same such that there is no differentiation of packet flow based on specific preferred content or selected users

**Q.2) What are the reasonable traffic management practices that may need to be followed by TSPs while providing Internet access services and in what manner could these be misused? Are there any other current or potential practices in India that may give rise to concerns about net neutrality?**

**ISPAI Response:**

We submit that the traffic management is required to maintain, protect, and ensure the efficient operation of a network. The regulation should allow managing congestion or protecting the security of a network. The Service providers should be allowed to exercise the following method of traffic management:

**Internet Service Providers Association of India**

612-A, Chiranjiv Tower, 43, Nehru Place, New Delhi – 110 019 – INDIA

Email: [info@ispai.in](mailto:info@ispai.in), URL: [www.ispai.in](http://www.ispai.in)

- Application-agnostic congestion control being a legitimate requirement from the service providers.
- The measures taken by Service providers to prevent any kind of security attacks in order to maintain the security and integrity of the network.

**Q.3) What should be India's policy and/or regulatory approach in dealing with issues relating to net neutrality? Please comment with justifications.**

**ISPAI Response:**

The Net Neutrality principle restriction should enable all broadband service providers to provide efficient services. Vibrant broadband ecosystem, that enables all service providers whether small, medium and large, would enable competitive service offering in urban, semi urban and rural areas.

Periodical discussion with service providers on efficacy of Net Neutrality Principles would help.

**Q.4) What precautions must be taken with respect to the activities of TSPs and content providers to ensure that national security interests are preserved? Please comment with justification.**

**ISPAI Response:**

The present measures in the Information Technology Act 2008 and the Licensing terms & conditions will be sufficient on ISPs/TSPs to ensure the national security.

With regard to content/OTT application providers, same service same rules it is up to Government and Authority to decide the modality. However, such rules should be equally applicable on TSPs and OTT communication service providers

**Q.5) What precautions must be taken with respect to the activities of TSPs and content providers to maintain customer privacy? Please comment with justification.**

**ISPAI Response:**

The present measures in the Information Technology Act 2008 and the Licensing terms & conditions will be sufficient on ISPs/TSPs to safe guard privacy and customer data protection.

With regard to content/OTT application providers, 'SAME SERVICE; SAME RULES' should apply.

**Q.6) What further issues should be considered for a comprehensive policy framework for defining the relationship between TSPs and OTT content providers?**

**ISPAI Response:**

As stated above 'Same Service Same Rule' needs to be followed. The regulatory framework of Net Neutrality should not be limited to TSP only, but to other stakeholders such as website, content/applications providers and handset/Consumer Premise Equipment (CPE) manufacturers. For

example, while TSPs are subjected to strict data privacy rules and sharing of consumer data, however, the other stakeholders are not subjected to such rules. Hence, a uniform policy for all stakeholders in Internet eco-system i.e. TSPs, handset/PE manufacturers and content/application providers is required. There should be no barring or enabling preferential access in handsets/CPEs without the knowledge of enabling/disabling by user. User only should have choice.

Same service, same rules between the OTT Communication Service Providers and TSPs are required in the context of national security, contribution to national exchequer in the form of regulatory levies/taxes, data privacy rules, etc. are needed. Any regulation on OTT communication service provider and/or charging of underlying data services should follow the principle of Same Service, Same Rules.